The relationship of lipoprotein lipase activity and LDL size is dependent on glucose metabolism in an elderly population - The Hoorn study

Bos, G.; Scheffer, P.G.; Vieira, D.; Dekker, J.M.; Nijpels, G.; Diamant, M.; Teerlink, T.; Stehouwer, C.D.A.; Bouter, L.M.; Heine, R.J.; Jansen, H.

published in
Diabetes Care
2004

DOI (link to publisher)
10.2337/diacare.27.3.796

document version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

The Relationship of Lipoprotein Lipase Activity and LDL size Is Dependent on Glucose Metabolism in an Elderly Population

The Hoorn Study

GRIËT BOS, MSC[1]
PETER G. SCHEFFER, PHD[2]
DELFINA VIEIRA, PHD[3]
JACQUELINE M. DEKKER, PHD[1]
GIEL NIJPELS, PHD[1]
MICHAELA DIAMANT, PHD[2]
TOM TEERLINK, PHD[2]
COEN D.A. STEHOUWER, PHD[1,2]
LEX M. BOUTER, PHD[1]
ROBERT J. HEINE, PHD[1,2]
HANS JANSEN, PHD[3]

Small LDL size is common in patients with type 2 diabetes and is associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease (1). LDL size is determined by various constituents of lipoprotein metabolism, such as lipoprotein lipase (LPL) and hepatic lipase (HL) activities, cholesteryl ester transfer protein (CETP), as well as triglyceride concentrations (2–4). Although abnormalities in LPL, HL, and CETP levels are associated with a diabetic lipoprotein profile, a relation to insulin resistance has been found only with lipase activities (5–7) but not with CETP (8,9). In this cross-sectional study, we investigated the relationship of LPL and HL activities and CETP mass with LDL size in 426 subjects with normal and impaired glucose metabolism or type 2 diabetes.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS — The Hoorn Study is a population-based cohort study of glucose metabolism and cardiovascular risk factors among 2,484 inhabitants of the municipality of Hoorn, which started in 1989. In 2000–2001, a follow-up was conducted in selected subjects then aged 60–87 years, as previously described (10). We invited all surviving subjects with type 2 diabetes (n = 176) and random samples of individuals with normal glucose metabolism (n = 705) or impaired glucose metabolism (n = 193) based on their glucose metabolism status (World Health Organization 1999 criteria) at the previous examination in 1996–1998 (11). Of the 1,074 individuals invited, 648 (60.3%) subjects participated. At the follow-up examination, a sample of 566 participated in the postheparin test. The Ethical Review Committee of the VU University Medical Center approved the study. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. LDL size was measured by high-performance gel-filtration chromatography (12). CETP mass was determined using a two-antibody sandwich immunoassay (13). LPL and HL activities were measured in plasma collected 20 min after contralateral intravenous administration of heparin, using an immunochemical method (14). One hundred and seven samples were excluded from analyses because very low activities of LPL and HL in postheparin plasma indicated insufficient heparin delivery. Activities were considered as very low if LPL activity was <50 units/l and if HL activity was <72 units/l. The contribution of HDL cholesterol, triglycerides, insulin, LPL, HL, and CETP to LDL size was analyzed in univariate and multivariate linear regression models in categories of glucose metabolism, with LDL size as the dependent variable with adjustment for sex.

RESULTS — Mean LDL size (in nanometers) was 21.6 ± 0.4, 21.5 ± 0.4, and 21.2 ± 0.5 in subjects with normal, impaired glucose metabolism, and diabetes, respectively. Mean LPL activity (in units per liter) was 150 ± 51, 147 ± 51, and 135 ± 42, respectively. There were no differences in HL activity (mean 372 ± 135 units/l) and CETP mass (1.87 ± 0.56 mg/l) between the three glucose metabolism categories.

In this elderly population, we observed a stronger positive association between LPL activity and LDL size in subjects with impaired glucose metabolism and type 2 diabetes than in subjects with normal glucose metabolism, even after adjustment for triglyceride concentration, which was the most important independent determinant of LDL size in all glucose metabolism categories. A test for interaction between LPL activity and glucose metabolism was significant (P = 0.03), indicating that glucose metabolism is an effect modifier in the relationship between LPL activity and LDL size (Table). Thus, higher LPL activity might protect against the development of small LDL size, especially in subjects with type 2 diabetes, who have increased triglyceride concentrations.

Mechanisms responsible for the presence of smaller LDL size in diabetic subjects compared with nondiabetic subjects are not fully understood. Lower LPL activity contributes to an impaired removal

From the [1]Institute for Research in Extramural Medicine, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands; the [2]Institute for Cardiovascular Research, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands; and the [3]Departments of Internal Medicine and Clinical Chemistry, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, the Netherlands.

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Griët Bos, MSc, Institute for Research in Extramural Medicine, VU University Medical Center, Van der Boechorststraat 7, 1081 BT Amsterdam, Netherlands. E-mail: g.bos.emgo@med.vu.nl.

Received and accepted for publication 11 November 2002.

Abbreviations: CETP, cholesteryl ester transfer protein; HL, hepatic lipase; LPL, lipoprotein lipase.

Table—*Associations with LDL size: linear regression analysis*

	NGM	IGM	Diabetes
Univariate models*			
Triglyceride	−0.54 (−0.63 to −0.44)	−0.58 (−0.72 to −0.43)	−0.72 (−0.86 to −0.59)
HDL cholesterol	0.42 (0.29–0.54)	0.57 (0.40–0.73)	0.59 (0.41–0.77)
Insulin	−0.18 (−0.30 to −0.06)	−0.25 (−0.42 to −0.08)	−0.10 (−0.30 to 0.11)
LPL activity	0.09 (−0.03 to 0.21)	0.24 (0.05–0.43)	0.23 (0.01–0.45)
HL activity	−0.21 (−0.33 to −0.09)	−0.18 (−0.37 to 0.01)	−0.10 (−0.34 to 0.14)
CETP mass	−0.02 (−0.15 to 0.11)	−0.13 (−0.3 to 0.05)	0.03 (−0.18 to 0.24)
Multivariate model†			
Triglyceride	−0.53 (−0.64 to −0.42)	−0.51 (−0.66 to −0.36)	−0.69 (−0.83 to −0.55)
Insulin	−0.02 (−0.13 to 0.09)	−0.11 (−0.26 to 0.04)	−0.02 (−0.16 to 0.12)
LPL activity	−0.02 (−0.13 to 0.09)	0.09 (−0.06 to 0.24)	0.17 (0.03–0.32)
HL activity	−0.02 (−0.13 to 0.10)	−0.09 (−0.25 to 0.06)	−0.02 (−0.17 to 0.12)
CETP mass	−0.01 (−0.11 to 0.10)	−0.09 (−0.23 to 0.06)	−0.05 (−0.20 to 0.10)

Data are β (95% CI). *Adjusted for sex; †model with sex, triglyceride, insulin, LPL, HL, and CETP. IGM, impaired glucose metabolism; NGM, normal glucose metabolism.

of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins, whereas an increased HL activity is associated with a greater lipolysis of triglyceride-enriched LDL. Several investigators have demonstrated that individuals with type 2 diabetes have increased triglyceride, reduced HDL, and increased small dense LDL concentrations, all of which are thought to have their origin in the insulin resistance syndrome (15–17). Fasting insulin, commonly used as a measure of insulin resistance, showed an inverse relation to LDL size in a large population with various degrees of glucose metabolism (18), which was confirmed in this study. Also, the negative association of triglyceride concentration with LDL size was previously reported in a population of 50 young healthy subjects (9). Normally, insulin reduces hepatic apolipoprotein (apo)B secretion by suppressing the delivery of nonesterified fatty acids from adipose tissue to the liver and by inhibiting new hepatic cholesterol synthesis. Insulin also enhances lipolysis and hepatic uptake of triglyceride-rich apoB-containing lipoproteins, including chylomicron remnants, by the upregulation of LPL activity and the stimulation of LDL receptor activity, respectively (19).

The amount of circulating triglycerides is the single most important and independent factor affecting LDL size in the present and other studies (5). Only in univariate analysis, HL activity contributed to LDL size in subjects with normal and impaired glucose metabolism. However, in the multivariate model containing plasma triglyceride, LPL, and CETP, HL activity did not contribute to LDL size in any of the glucose metabolism groups. This finding may indicate that in our population, HL activity is not rate limiting in the formation of small dense LDL.

CONCLUSIONS — In conclusion, we have demonstrated that high triglyceride concentration and low LPL activity are determinants of small LDL size, especially in individuals with abnormal glucose metabolism. These findings suggest that, beyond triglyceride concentration, activities of lipolytic proteins explain the differences in LDL size in diabetic and nondiabetic people.

References

1. Lamarche B, Tchernof A, Moorjani S, Cantin B, Dagenais GR, Lupien PJ, Despres JP: Small, dense low-density lipoprotein particles as a predictor of the risk of ischemic heart disease in men: prospective results from the Quebec Cardiovascular Study. *Circulation* 95:69–75, 1997
2. Carr MC, Ayyobi AF, Murdoch SJ, Deeb SS, Brunzell JD: Contribution of hepatic lipase, lipoprotein lipase, and cholesteryl ester transfer protein to LDL and HDL heterogeneity in healthy women. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 22:667–673, 2002
3. Allayee H, Dominguez KM, Aouizerat BE, Krauss RM, Rotter JI, Lu J, Cantor RM, de Bruin TW, Lusis AJ: Contribution of the hepatic lipase gene to the atherogenic lipoprotein phenotype in familial combined hyperlipidemia. *J Lipid Res* 41:245–252, 2000
4. Vakkilainen J, Jauhiainen M, Ylitalo K, Nuotio IO, Viikari JS, Ehnholm C, Taskinen MR: LDL particle size in familial combined hyperlipidemia: effects of serum lipids, lipoprotein-modifying enzymes, and lipid transfer proteins. *J Lipid Res* 43: 598–603, 2002
5. Tan KC, Shiu SW, Chu BY: Roles of hepatic lipase and cholesteryl ester transfer protein in determining low density lipoprotein subfraction distribution in Chinese patients with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Atherosclerosis* 145: 273–278, 1999
6. Frenais R, Nazih H, Ouguerram K, Maugeais C, Zair Y, Bard JM, Charbonnel B, Magot T, Krempf M: In vivo evidence for the role of lipoprotein lipase activity in the regulation of apolipoprotein AI metabolism: a kinetic study in control subjects and patients with type II diabetes mellitus. *J Endocrinol Metab* 86:1962–1967, 2001
7. Berk-Planken II, Hoogerbrugge N, Stolk RP, Bootsma AH, Jansen H: Atorvastatin dose-dependently decreases hepatic lipase activity in type 2 diabetes: effect of sex and the *LIPC* promoter variant. *Diabetes Care* 26:427–432, 2003
8. Bernard S, Moulin P, Lagrost L, Picard S, Elchebly M, Ponsin G, Chapuis F, Berthezene F: Association between plasma HDL-cholesterol concentration and Taq1B CETP gene polymorphism in non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *J Lipid Res* 39:59–65, 1998
9. Ambrosch A, Muhlen I, Kopf D, Augustin W, Dierkes J, Konig W, Luley C, Lehnert H: LDL size distribution in relation to insulin sensitivity and lipoprotein pattern in young and healthy subjects. *Diabetes Care* 21:2077–2084, 1998
10. Snijder MB, Dekker JM, Visser M, Bouter

LM, Stehouwer CD, Yudkin JS, Heine RJ, Nijpels G, Seidell JC: Trunk fat and leg fat have independent and opposite associations with fasting and postload glucose levels: The Hoorn Study. *Diabetes Care* 27:372–378, 2004
11. de Vegt F, Dekker JM, Jager A, Hienkens E, Kostense PJ, Stehouwer CD, Nijpels G, Bouter LM, Heine RJ: Relation of impaired fasting and postload glucose with incident type 2 diabetes in a Dutch population: The Hoorn Study. *JAMA* 285:2109–2113, 2001
12. Scheffer PG, Bakker SJ, Heine RJ, Teerlink T: Measurement of low-density lipoprotein particle size by high-performance gel-filtration chromatography. *Clin Chem* 43:1904–1912, 1997
13. Niemeijer-Kanters SD, Dallinga-Thie GM, de Ruijter-Heijstek FC, Algra A, Erkelens DW, Banga JD, Jansen H: Effect of intensive lipid-lowering strategy on low-density lipoprotein particle size in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Atherosclerosis* 156:209–216, 2001
14. Jansen H, Hop W, van Tol A, Bruschke AV, Birkenhager JC: Hepatic lipase and lipoprotein lipase are not major determinants of the low density lipoprotein subclass pattern in human subjects with coronary heart disease. *Atherosclerosis* 107:45–54, 1994
15. Galeano NF, Al-Haideri M, Keyserman F, Rumsey SC, Deckelbaum RJ: Small dense low density lipoprotein has increased affinity for LDL receptor-independent cell surface binding sites: a potential mechanism for increased atherogenicity. *J Lipid Res* 39:1263–1273, 1998
16. Reaven GM, Chen YD, Jeppesen J, Maheux P, Krauss RM: Insulin resistance and hyperinsulinemia in individuals with small, dense low density lipoprotein particles. *J Clin Invest* 92:141–146, 1993
17. Haffner SM: Lipoprotein disorders associated with type 2 diabetes mellitus and insulin resistance. *Am J Cardiol* 90:55i–61i, 2002
18. Festa A, D'Agostino R Jr, Mykkanen L, Tracy RP, Hales CN, Howard BV, Haffner SM: LDL particle size in relation to insulin, proinsulin, and insulin sensitivity: the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study. *Diabetes Care* 22:1688–1693, 1999
19. Chan DC, Watts GF, Barrett PH, Mamo JC, Redgrave TG: Markers of triglyceride-rich lipoprotein remnant metabolism in visceral obesity. *Clin Chem* 48:278–283, 2002